cc: USP(1 AMANDA LISKAMM Director, Consumer Protection Branch 2 MANU J. SEBASTIAN SHEILA BERMAN 3 Trial Attorneys Consumer Protection Branch 4 U.S. Department of Justice 450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 6400 Washington, DC 20001 5 Telephone: (202) 514-0515 6 Facsimile: (202) 514-8742 E-mail: Manu.J.Sebastian@usdoj.gov 7 8 E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney MACK E. JENKINS Assistant United States Attorney 10 Chief, Criminal Division VALERIE MAKAREWICZ (Cal. Bar No. 229637) 11 Assistant United States Attorney Major Frauds Section 1100 United States Courthouse 12 312 North Spring Street 13 Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-0756 Facsimile: (213) 894-6269 14 E-mail: Valerie.Makarewicz@usdoj.gov 15 16 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 18 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 19 No. ED 23-CR-00021-JGB UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 20 Plaintiff, ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND 21 FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL v. 22 ACT JASON EDWARD THOMAS CARDIFF, 23 Defendant. CURRENT TRIAL DATE: 6/11/24 24 NEW TRIAL DATE: 9/3/24 @ 9 AM 25 STATUS CONFERENCE: 8/19/24 @ 2 PM 26

27

28

1

2

9 10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on May 22, 2024. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. The trial in this matter is continued from June 11, 2024 to September 3, 2024, at 9:00 a.m. A status conference is also set for August 19, 2024, at 2:00 p.m.
 - 2.
- The time period of June 11, 2024 to September 3, 2024, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B) (iv).

| ١ | |
|---|--|
| | 4. Defendant shall appear in Courtroom 1 of the George E. |
| | Brown, Jr. Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 3470 |
| | Twelfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501-3801 on September 3, 2024, at |
| | 9:00 a.m. |
| | 5. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other |
| | provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time |
| | periods are excluded from the period within which trial must |
| | commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of |
| | the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of |
| | additional time periods from the period within which trial must |
| | commence. |
| | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| | May 31, 2024 |
| | DATE HONORABLE JESUS G. BERNAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| | |
| | |
| | Presented by: |
| | /s/ Manu J. Sebastian |
| | Trial Attorney Consumer Protection Branch |
| | U.S. Department of Justice |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |